arXiv:1512.02991v1 [math.CO] 9 Dec 2015

Spherical Designs and Generalized Sum-Free Sets in Abelian Groups

Béla Bajnok
Department of Mathematics
Gettysburg College
Gettysburg, PA 17325-1486 USA
bbajnok@gettysburg.edu

September 15, 1999

Abstract

We extend the concepts of sum-free sets and Sidon-sets of combinatorial number theory with the aim to provide explicit constructions for spherical designs. We call a subset S of the (additive) abelian group G *t-free* if for all non-negative integers k and l with $k + l \leq t$, the sum of k (not necessarily distinct) elements of S does not equal the sum of l (not necessarily distinct) elements of S unless $k = l$ and the two sums contain the same terms.

Here we shall give asymptotic bounds for the size of a largest t-free set in $\mathbf{Z}_n$, and for $t \leq 3$ discuss how t-free sets in $\mathbf{Z}_n$ can be used to construct spherical t-designs.

1 Introduction

In the attempt to provide explicit constructions for spherical designs, we introduce the concept of t-free sets (generalized sum-free sets) in abelian groups. t-free sets give an extention of the well studied concepts of sum-free sets, Sidon-sets, and B_h sequences, and are the sources of some interesting number theory.

Section 2 of this paper gives a brief introduction to spherical designs, Section 3 describes their connection to t-free sets, and Section 4 gives some results on t-free sets. Readers only interested in t-free sets may proceed directly to the self-contained Section 4.

2 Spherical Designs

Spherical designs were introduced by Delsarte, Goethals, and Seidel in 1977 [10].

Definition 1 *A finite set X of points on the d-sphere S^d is a* spherical t-design *or a* spherical design of strength t*, if for every polynomial f of total degree t or less, the average value of f over the whole sphere is equal to the arithmetic average of its values on X. If this only holds for homogeneous polynomials of degree t, then X is called a* spherical design of index t*.*

In other words, X is of index t if the Chebyshev-type quadrature formula

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_d(S^d)} \int_{S^d} f(\mathbf{x}) d\sigma_d(\mathbf{x}) \approx \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in X} f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{1}$$

is exact for all homogeneous polynomials $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ of degree t (σ_d denotes the surface measure on S^d). X is a t-design if it is of index k for every $k \leq t$.

The concept of t-designs on the sphere is analogous to $t-(v,k,\lambda)$ designs [23], and has been studied in various contexts, including representation theory, combinatorics, and approximation theory. For general references see [5], [10], [12], [13], [14], [18], [21], and [24]. The existence of spherical designs for every t and d and large enough $n = |X|$ was first proved by Seymour and Zaslavsky in 1984 [25].

A central question in the field is to find all integer triples (t, d, n) for which a spherical t-design on S^d exists consisting of n points, and to provide explicit constructions for these parameters. Delsarte,

Goethals, and Seidel [10] provide the tight lower bound

$$n \geq \binom{d + \lfloor t/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} + \binom{d + \lfloor (t-1)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor (t-1)/2 \rfloor} = O(t^d). \qquad (2)$$

We shall refer to the bound 2 as the DGS bound. Spherical designs of this minimum size are called *tight*. Bannai and Damerell [6], [7] proved that tight spherical designs for $d \geq 2$ exist only for $t = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7,$ or 11. All tight t-designs are known, except possibly for $t = 4, 5,$ or 7. In particular, there is a unique $11-$design (d=23 and $n = 196,560$).

The first general construction of spherical designs for arbitrary t, d, and large enough n were given independently by Wagner [26] and the author [2], [3], who used $n \geq C(d)t^{O(d^4)}$ and $n \geq C(d)t^{O(d^3)}$ points, respectively. This bound was later reduced to $C(d)t^{d^2/2+d/2}$ by Korevaar and Meyers [19]. They believe that the minimum size of a t-design on S^d is $C(d)t^d$.

It is easy to see that a 1-design of size n exists on S^d for every d and $n \geq 2$ (take any point set whose centroid is the origin). The case $t = 2$ was settled by Mimura [20] who proved the following.

Theorem 2 *A 2-design of size n exists on S^d if and only if $n \geq d+2$ (the DGS bound (2)), unless n is odd and $n = d + 3$.*

For $t = 3$ the author [4] provided constructions for all d and n for which 3-designs are believed to exist. Namely, we have the following.

Theorem 3 *A 3-design of size n on S^d exists for every $n \geq 2(d+1)$ (the DGS bound (2)), unless n is odd and $n < 5(d+1)/2$ or $(d, n) \in \{(2, 9), (4, 13)\}$.*

We conjectured in [4] that 3-designs do not exist for other parameters. This conjecture is supported by the numerical evidence of Hardin and Sloane [17] and by a result of Boyvalenkov, Danyo, and Nikova [9] that no 3-design exists of size n on S^d if n is odd and $n < (\sqrt[3]{2} + 1)(d + 1) + .3 \approx 2.26(d + 1) + .3$. In particular, there is

no 3-design on 7 points on S^2, leaving only the case $n = 9$ open on the 2-dimensional sphere.

The proof of Theorem 3 is based on a number theoretic idea. Below we shall describe this method in a general setting that might be of independent interest.

3 From Spherical Designs to Additive Number Theory

For explicit constructions of spherical designs it is convenient to use the following equivalent definition, see [10] or [5].

Lemma 4 *A finite subset X of S^d is a spherical t-design if and only if for every homogeneous harmonic polynomial f of total degree t or less*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}\in X} f(\mathbf{x}) = 0.$$

A polynomial $f(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ is *harmonic* if it satisfies Laplace's equation $\Delta f = 0$. The set of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree k over S^d forms the vector space $Harm_k(S^d)$ with

$$\dim Harm_k(S^d) = \binom{d+k}{k} - \binom{d+k-2}{k-2}.$$

In particular, for $k = 1, 2$, and 3 we find that $\Phi_k(S^d)$ forms a basis for $Harm_k(S^d)$ where

$$\Phi_1(S^d) = \{x_i | 0 \le i \le d\},$$
$$\Phi_2(S^d) = \{x_i x_j | 0 \le i < j \le d\} \cup \{x_i^2 - x_{i+1}^2 | 0 \le i \le d-1\},$$

and

$$\Phi_3(S^d) = \{x_i x_j x_k | 0 \le i < j < k \le d\} \cup \{x_i^3 - 3x_i x_j^2 | 0 \le i \ne j \le d\}.$$

We now attempt to find a set of n points on S^d which forms a t-design. Before we proceed, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 5 *For all positive integers a and n we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin(\frac{2\pi i}{n}a) = 0$. Furthermore, if a is not a multiple of n, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \cos(\frac{2\pi i}{n}a) = 0$ as well.*

Proof. Let $z = \cos(\frac{2\pi}{n}a) + \sqrt{-1}\sin(\frac{2\pi}{n}a)$, the a-th complex value of $\sqrt[n]{1}$. If a is not a multiple of n then $z \neq 1$, and we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} z^i = 0$. □

For $t = 1$ the lower bound (2) yields $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 5 we see that the vertices

$$\mathbf{u_i} = \left(\cos(\frac{2\pi i}{n}), \sin(\frac{2\pi i}{n}), 0, \ldots, 0\right)$$

$(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ of a regular n-gon on the equator of S^d form a 1-design if $n \geq 2$.

Below we shall try to generalize this simple construction to the case of $t \geq 2$. We follow methods similar to those used by Mimura [20] and the author [4]. For simplicity we assume in what follows that d is odd and let $d = 2m - 1$. The case when d is even can be reduced to this case by a simple technique, see [4] or [20].

Suppose that $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$ are positive integers, and consider the n points

$$\mathbf{u_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\left(\cos(\frac{2\pi i a_1}{n}), \sin(\frac{2\pi i a_1}{n}), \ldots, \cos(\frac{2\pi i a_m}{n}), \sin(\frac{2\pi i a_m}{n})\right)$$

where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The scalar in front is chosen so that each point is on S^d.

We now examine $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\mathbf{u_i})$ for monomials f of $d + 1 = 2m$ variables. Suppose that $f = \prod_{j=0}^{d} x_j^{k_j}$ with $1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^{d} k_j = k$. Then

$$f(\mathbf{u_i}) = \frac{1}{m^{k/2}} \cos^{k_0}(\frac{2\pi i a_1}{n}) \sin^{k_1}(\frac{2\pi i a_1}{n}) \cdots \cos^{k_{d-1}}(\frac{2\pi i a_m}{n}) \sin^{k_d}(\frac{2\pi i a_m}{n}).$$

Using the trigonometric identities

$$\sin\alpha \sin\beta = \frac{1}{2}[\cos(\alpha - \beta) - \cos(\alpha + \beta)],$$

$$\sin\alpha\cos\beta = \frac{1}{2}[\sin(\alpha-\beta)+\sin(\alpha+\beta)],$$

and

$$\cos\alpha\cos\beta = \frac{1}{2}[\cos(\alpha-\beta)+\cos(\alpha+\beta)]$$

repeatedly, we can write $f(\mathbf{u_i})$ as the sum of 2^{k-1} terms, each of the form

$$\pm\frac{1}{2^{k-1}m^{k/2}}\sin\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(l_1a_1+\cdots+l_ma_m)\right)$$

or

$$\pm\frac{1}{2^{k-1}m^{k/2}}\cos\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}(l_1a_1+\cdots+l_ma_m)\right),$$

where $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_m$ are integers with $|l_\nu| \leq k_{2\nu-2} + k_{2\nu-1}$ for $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots, m$; in particular, $|l_1| + \cdots + |l_m| \leq k$. In fact, a closer look reveals that if either $k_{2\nu-2}$ or $k_{2\nu-1}$ is odd, then it is possible to do this so that a cosine term with $l_\nu = 0$ does not appear; in particular, a cosine term with $l_1 = l_2 = \cdots = l_m = 0$ will not appear if at least one exponent k_j is odd ($j = 0, 1, \ldots, d$).

Therefore, by Lemma 5, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 6 *Let f be a monomial of $2m$ variables, total degree k, and suppose that at least one variable appears with an odd exponent in f. Suppose further that the integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m$ are chosen so that $l_1a_1 + \cdots + l_ma_m$ is not divisible by n whenever the integers $l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_m$ satisfy $1 \leq |l_1| + \cdots + |l_m| \leq k$. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ define*

$$\mathbf{u_i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\left(\cos(\frac{2\pi i a_1}{n}), \sin(\frac{2\pi i a_1}{n}), \ldots, \cos(\frac{2\pi i a_m}{n}), \sin(\frac{2\pi i a_m}{n})\right).$$

Then we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\mathbf{u_i}) = 0$. □

A set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ of integers satisfying the condition in Theorem 6 will be called *k-free*. This concept leads us to the beautiful area of additive number theory, and shall be discussed in the next Section.

Corollary 7 *If k is an odd positive integer and the set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ is k-free, then $X = \{\mathbf{u_i} | i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ (as defined in Theorem 6 above) is a spherical design on S^d of index k.* □

Finally, as in [4], we find that for $f = x_j^2$ $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, d)$ and a 2-free set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\mathbf{u_i}) = n/2$. Since this value is independent of j, we see that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\mathbf{u_i}) = 0$ for every polynomial in $\Phi_1(S^d) \cup \Phi_2(S^d) \cup \Phi_3(S^d)$, thus we get the following.

Corollary 8 *Let $t = 1, 2$, or 3. Suppose that the set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ is t-free. Then $X = \{\mathbf{u_i} | i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ (as defined in Theorem 6 above) is a spherical t-design on S^d.* $\square$

The earlier stated Theorem 3 is based on Corollary 8. The application of our methods to $t \geq 4$ will be the subject of further study.

4 Generalized Sum-Free Sets in Abelian Groups

In this section t is a positive integer, and G is an abelian group written in additive notation. In view of Theorem 6, we make the following definition.

Definition 9 *We say that $S \subset G$ is a* t-free set *in G if for all non-negative integers k and l with $k + l \leq t$, the sum of k (not necessarily distinct) elements of S can only equal the sum of l (not necessarily distinct) elements of S if $k = l$ and the two sums contain the same terms.*

Equivalently, we say that S is t-free in G if every equation of the form $\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_t x_t = 0$, where $\epsilon_i = 0, \pm 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, has only trivial solutions in S: each $\epsilon_i = 0$, or the same x_i appears both with a coefficient of 1 and of -1. The cardinality of a largest t-free set in G will be denoted by $s(G, t)$.

Our t-free sets are extensions of the extensively studied concepts of sum-free sets and Sidon sets in abelian groups. A *sum-free set in G* is a subset S of G for which $(S + S) \cap S = \emptyset$, i.e. there are no (not necessarily distinct) elements a, b, and c in S for which $a + b = c$. A *Sidon set in G* is a subset S of G for which the only way to have (not necessarily distinct) $a, b, c, d \in S$ with $a + b = c + d$ is the trivial

$\{a, b\} = \{c, d\}$. Sum-free sets, Sidon sets, and their generalizations such as B_h sequences have a long history and have been investigated extensively, most notably by Erdős. For more information see, for example, [1], [11], [15], [16], [27], and their references.

Here we are interested in t-free sets in the group $\mathbf{Z}_n$. For an explicit construction and to have a lower bound on $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, t)$, we have the following.

Proposition 10 *If $n > t^m$, then the set $\{1, t, t^2, \ldots, t^{m-1}\}$ is a t-free set of size m in $\mathbf{Z}_n$. This gives $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, t) \geq \lfloor \log_t(n-1) \rfloor$.* □

We can certainly find better approximations for $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, t)$. For $t = 1$ we can obviously take the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$, hence $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 1) = n - 1$. It is also clear that $\{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor\}$ is a 2-free set and, since we can never have both a and $n - a$ in a 2-free set, we conclude that $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 2) = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$.

For $t = 3$ the situation becomes interesting. First we prove the following.

Proposition 11 *For every n we have $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 3) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$.*

Proof. [Based on [8].] As above, we note that we can assume without loss of generality that our 3-free set $S = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m\}$ is such that $1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_m \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$. Consider the set $S^* = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m, a_m - a_1, a_m - a_2, \ldots, a_m - a_{m-1}\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$. Since S is 3-free, the $2m - 1$ elements in S^* are all distinct in $\mathbf{Z}_n$. Our claim then holds if $|S^*| \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$. This is clearly the case if n is even.

When n is odd, we argue as follows. If S^* were equal to $\{1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor\}$, then we would have to have $a_m = \frac{n-1}{2}$. If $a_1 = 1$, then $a_1 + a_m + a_m = n$, a contradiction, so assume that $a_1 > 1$. Now $1, 2, \ldots, a_1 - 1 \in S^*$ can only happen if $a_1 \leq m$ and $1 = a_m - a_{m-1}, 2 = a_m - a_{m-2}, \ldots, a_1 - 1 = a_m - a_{m-(a_1-1)}$. But then the three elements $a_m, a_{m-(a_1-1)}$, and a_1 add to $2a_m + 1 = n$, a contradiction again. □

We indeed have a 3-free set in $\mathbf{Z}_n$ of size $\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ when n is even: take the odd integers up to (but not including) $n/2$ (note that three odd numbers will not add to n if n is even). When n is odd, we can still take the set of odd integers up to (but not including) $n/3$. Surprisingly, we can do better in one case, namely if n has a divisor p of the form $p = 6q + 5$. In this case, the set

$$\{ip + 2j + 1 | i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{p} - 1, j = 0, 1, \ldots, q\}$$

is 3-free (see [4]). Thus we have the following proposition.

Proposition 12 *If n is even, then $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 3) = \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$. If n is odd and has no prime divisors congruent to 5 (mod 6), then $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 3) \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor$. If n is odd and p is its smallest prime divisor congruent to 5 (mod 6), then $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 3) \geq \frac{p+1}{6p} n$.* $\square$

We mention here that by a recent result of Ruzsa [22] the lower bounds for $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 3)$ given in Theorem 12 are exact for every n.

Note that by Propositions 11 and 12, $\lfloor \frac{n}{6} \rfloor \leq s(\mathbf{Z}_n, 3) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$. For $t \geq 4$, however, we have $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, t) = o(n)$. Below we establish the following asymptotic results.

Theorem 13 *For a given positive integer t, there are constants $c_1(t)$ and $c_2(t)$ for which $c_1(t) n^{1/t} \leq s(\mathbf{Z}_n, t) \leq c_2(t) n^{1/\lfloor t/2 \rfloor}$ for every positive integer n.*

The lower and upper bounds in Theorem 13 will follow from Propositions 14 and 15, respectively.

Proposition 14 *Let t, m, and n be positive integers for which $n \geq t 3^t m^t$. Then $\mathbf{Z}_n$ has a t-free set of size m.*

Proposition 15 *Let t, m, and n be positive integers for which $\mathbf{Z}_n$ has a t-free set of size m. Then $n \geq \binom{m + \lfloor t/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor}$.*

Proof of Proposition 14. We use induction on m. For $m = 1$ we see that $\{1\}$ is a t-free set in $\mathbf{Z}_n$ whenever $n > t$, and this indeed holds by our assumption.

Assume now that our proposition holds for a positive integer m and suppose that $n \geq t3^t(m+1)^t$. Since this value is greater than $t3^t m^t$, our inductive hypothesis implies that $\mathbf{Z}_n$ has a t-free set S of size m.

Let us define $\Gamma^t S := \{\epsilon_1 s_1 + \epsilon_2 s_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_t s_t = 0 | \epsilon_i \in \{0, 1, -1\}, s_i \in S, i = 1, 2, \ldots, t\}$. We have $|\Gamma^t S| \leq 3^t m^t$.

Now look at $A_j := \{j, 2j, \ldots, tj\} \subset \mathbf{Z}_n$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 3^t m^t + 1$. Since $|\Gamma^t S| \leq 3^t m^t$, we must have a $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 3^t m^t + 1\}$ for which $\Gamma^t S \cap A_{j_0} = \emptyset$. We claim that $S \cup \{j_0\}$ is a t-free set in $\mathbf{Z}_n$ of size $m + 1$.

First, $|S \cup \{j_0\}| = m + 1$, since $j_0 \in S$ implies $j_0 \in \Gamma^t S \cap A_{j_0}$, a contradiction. To show that $S \cup \{j_0\}$ is t-free, assume that $x + kj_0 = 0$ for some $x \in \Gamma^t S$ and $0 \leq k \leq t$. If $x \neq 0$, then $k \neq 0$ and $-x = kj_0 \in A_{j_0}$. But $-x \in \Gamma^t S$ (as $\Gamma^t S$ is closed under taking negatives), hence $-x \in \Gamma^t S \cap A_{j_0} = \emptyset$, a contradiction. On the other hand, if $x = 0$, then $kj_0 = 0$. But $x = 0$ implies that x is trivial (because S is t-free), so we just need to prove that $k = 0$ as well. This indeed holds, as $1 \leq k \leq t$ would imply that the positive integer kj_0 is at most $t(3^t m^t + 1)$, a number less than $t3^t(m+1)^t$, so $kj_0 \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Z}_n$, a contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 15. Let S be a t-free set in $\mathbf{Z}_n$. Consider the set $\Sigma^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} S = \cup_{k=1}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} \underbrace{S + S + \cdots + S}_{k}$.

Since S is t-free, $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_i \in \Sigma^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} S$ and $b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_j \in \Sigma^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} S$ are different and non-zero in $\mathbf{Z}_n$, unless $i = j$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_i\} = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_j\}$ as multisets. Therefore, $n - 1 \geq |\Sigma^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} S| = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} \binom{m+k-1}{k} = \binom{m+\lfloor t/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} - 1$, from which our claim follows. $\square$

We close our paper with some open problems on t-free sets.

Problem 1. Find the correct asymptotic value of $s(\mathbf{Z}_n, t)$ (see Theorem 13).

Problem 2. Improve Proposition 10 by finding an explicit construction for a t-free set of size m in $\mathbf{Z_n}$ for m at least as in Proposition 14.

Problem 3. Investigate t-free sets in other abelian groups and (after a modified definition) in non-abelian groups.

References

[1] N. Alon and D. J. Kleitman. Sum-free subsets. In A. Baker, B. Bollobas, and A. Hajnal, editors, *A Tribute to Paul Erdős*, pages 13–26. Cambridge University Press, Inc., 1990.

[2] B. Bajnok. Chebyshev-type quadrature formulas on the sphere. *Congr. Numer.*, 85:214–218, 1991.

[3] B. Bajnok. Construction of spherical t-designs. *Geom. Dedicata*, 43:167–179, 1992.

[4] B. Bajnok. Constructions of spherical 3-designs. *Graphs Combin.*, 14:97–107, 1998.

[5] E. Bannai. On extremal finite sets in the sphere and other metric spaces. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 131:13–38, 1988.

[6] E. Bannai and R. M. Damerell. Tight spherical designs I. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 31:199–207, 1979.

[7] E. Bannai and R. M. Damerell. Tight spherical designs II. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 21:13–30, 1980.

[8] P. Boyvalenkov. Personal communication.

[9] P. Boyvalenkov, D. Danev, and S. Nikova. Nonexistence of certain spherical designs of odd strengths and cardinalities. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 21:143–156, 1999.

[10] P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel. Spherical codes and designs. *Geom. Dedicata*, 6:363–388, 1977.

[11] P. Erdős and R. Freud. A Sidon problémakör. *Mat. Lapok*, 1991/2:1–44, 1991.

[12] C. D. Godsil. *Algebraic Combinatorics*. Chapman and Hall, Inc., 1993.

[13] J. M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel. Spherical designs. In D. K. Ray-Chaudhuri, editor, *Relations between combinatorics and other parts of mathematics*, volume 34 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 255–272. American Mathematical Society, 1979.

[14] J. M. Goethals and J. J. Seidel. Cubature formulae, polytopes and spherical designs. In C. Davis, B. Grünbaum, and F. A. Sher, editors, *The Geometric Vein: The Coveter Festschrift*, pages 203–218. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1981.

[15] R. K. Guy. *Unsolved Problems in Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., second edition, 1993.

[16] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth. *Sequences*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1983.

[17] R. H. Hardin and N. J. A. Sloane. McLaren's improved snub cube and other new spherical designs in three dimensions. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 15:429–441, 1996.

[18] S. G. Hoggar. Spherical t-designs. In C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, editors, *The CRC handbook of combinatorial designs*, pages 462–466. CRC Press, Inc., 1996.

[19] J. Korevaar and J. L. H. Meyers. Chebyshev-type quadrature on multidimensional domains. *J. Approx. Theory*, 79:144–164, 1994.

[20] J. Mimura. A construction of spherical 2-design. *Graphs Combin.*, 6:369–372, 1990.

[21] B. Reznick. Sums of even powers of real linear forms. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 463, 1992.

[22] I. Ruzsa. Personal communication.

[23] J. J. Seidel. Designs and approximation. *Contemp. Math.*, 111:179–186, 1990.

[24] J. J. Seidel. Spherical designs and tensors. In E. Bannai and A. Munemasa, editors, *Progress in algebraic combinatorics*, volume 24 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 309–321. Mathematical Society of Japan, 1996.

[25] P. D. Seymour and T. Zaslavsky. Averaging sets: A generalization of mean values and spherical designs. *Adv. Math.*, 52:213–240, 1984.

[26] G. Wagner. On averaging sets. *Monatsh. Math.*, 111:69–78, 1991.

[27] W. D. Wallis, A. P. Street, and J. S. Wallis. *Combinatorics: Room Squares, Sum-free Sets, Hadamard Matrices*, volume 292 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Inc., 1972. Part 3.